

Journal article claiming GMOs might pass “genetic benefits” to weeds challenged

It is well accepted observation that when the pseudoscience or anti-science crowd runs out of supporting evidence (usually when it's thoroughly debunked by scientific skeptics), it has to rely upon the whole range of logical fallacies, errors in reasoning to support a position in an argument or debate. Because scientific skeptics (or if we're talking about medicine, science based medicine) always demand high quality evidence to support claims, the anti-science and pseudoscience pushing troupes are frequently cherry picking "peer-reviewed" research to use as their "proof" for their claims.

Read the full, original story here: “[Anti-GMO horde trumpets new article, mischaracterizes results](#)”