

GMO labeling: bad for business, bad for consumers

When you go to the grocery store, you look for foods your family needs and likes, and, if you're like most Americans these days, you're also looking at prices. But it's also likely that you're looking at labels just to make sure there's nothing unhealthy in your foods. All of these factors inform your decision as to what to buy. After all, it's about choice. We are lucky in this country to have so many choices.

But there are some people in New Hampshire who believe we need labels on foods that contain genetically modified, or engineered, foods (GMOs), creating a misconception that such foods are bad for you. Sadly, these people are using scare tactics over scientific facts. They are pushing a bill in the Legislature, House Bill 660, that would require anything with genetically modified organisms to be labeled as such. This is bad for business and bad for consumers, and it will create confusion where none needs to be.

It's time to sort out fact from fiction, because if the bill passes, it will have a drastic economic impact on New Hampshire residents, grocers, restaurants, retailers and farmers.

Read the full, original article: [My Turn: GMO labeling: bad for business, bad for consumers](#)