

Just because scientists can genetically engineer foods doesn't mean they should (or does it?)

This was written in response to the [comment by Beth Hoffman](#) in her article on Forbes, but it exceeded the given wordcount, which is why I post it here:

Thanks, Beth, for responding to my comment. It is good to read that you are more concerned about balance than about genetic engineering as such and that you don't reject Golden Rice simply because it is a GMO. As I understand your comment, you simply wonder about the optimal allocation of resources?

The suggestion that we are putting all our eggs (or all our money) in the Golden Rice basket is far off the mark – Golden Rice is nowhere near representing 99% of our eggs; in the bigger picture it is rather a small egg

Read the full, original post: Comment on: [Just Because Science Can Genetically Engineer Foods, Doesn't Mean We Should \(or Does It?\)](#)