Do we need regulation for coming brain augmentation technologies?

If you could permanently change your brain to work better, would you? Or, maybe more importantly, would you have the right to?

Over <u>at *The Atlantic*</u>, there's an excellent rundown of think-tank the Institute for the Future's forecasts for the next 10 years, but one of the most fascinating is the idea of a "Magna Cortica": a document that would legally protect the right to cognitive enhancement. Mood pills, brain stimulation, genetic modification — what should we be allowed to do to ourselves?

Institute for the Future distinguished fellow Jamais Cascio drew up this rough list:

- 1. The right to self-knowledge
- 2. The right to self-modification
- 3. The right to refuse modification
- 4. The right to modify/refuse to modify your children
- 5. The right to know who has been modified

Read the full, original story: <u>Do We Need A 'Magna Cortica' For Brain Rights?</u>