How is Chipotle's PR gimmick going? Left and right agree food chain public face of science illiteracy

Chipotle wins the science 'foot in mouth' award for 2015, and we are not even to summer. More than 40 media condemnations and counting.

The fast food chain's "bold" move, announcing a faux ban on GMOs in its food, has blown up big time. Why faux? Because as Chipotle well knows, its sodas, beef, pork and chicken dishes, and any food with cheese, are made with ingredients that derived through genetically engineering.

In other words, a Chipotle meal was, and remains, the definition of a GMO meal. Which is why it's bungled opportunistic attempt to capitalize on anti-GMO hysteria is so delicious to those with a modicum of respect for science and the intelligence of consumers.

Chipotle has taken food marketing hypocrisy to new heights, say its critics—and we are not talking about complaints from Big Ag or Big Food. In fact, in the week since Chipotle made its announcement, one would be hard pressed to find any nutritionist, scientist, health advocate or science journalist who has defended Chipotle's crass marketing ploy.

<u>Gregory Jaffe</u>, a biotechnology specialist at the Center for Science in the Public Interest, said it well in an <u>interview</u> with *New York* magazine: In banning GMOs, Chipotle "seem[s] to suggest that their products are somehow healthier or safer," he said. "In reality, what Chipotle and consumers should care about is that their burritos have almost 1000 calories and huge amounts of salt."

click for larger image

A *New York Times*' <u>analysis</u> found that many of its meals exceed 1600 calories, and it's not unusual for Chipotle's customers to chow down more than 2000 calories per meal—well exceeding a healthy person's entire daily calorie allowance. And that's without a soda, almost certainly sweetened with sugar made from genetically engineered sugar beets, adding an additional 250 calories or more.

Chipotle has also pulled off a rare accomplishment: it's managed to unite the ideological left and right among scientists and journalists, a rare occurrence on a controversial science issues. Credible news sources, from the Washington Post to the Los Angeles Times to NPR to the Wall Street Journal—even to Mother Jones on the far left and National Review on the hard right—and taking justifiable potshots at the new face of science illiteracy and hypocrisy. Its defenders? A motley crew of increasingly strident anti-GMO zealots like Gary Hirshberg, founder of Stonyfield Organic and the Just Label It NGO; to America's celebrity anti-GMO chef Tom Colicchio; to creepy extremist quacks, like Mike Adams of NaturalNews.com.

Here's a list on Storify, assembled and updated by Mary Mangan of major media reaction to the Chipotle science shuffle:

Screen Shot 2015-05-02 at 3.46.32 PM
Image not found or type unknown Click for larger image
For the full, clickable list linked to many of the stories across the web, click HERE.
To view each story individually, click below:
[View the story "So, how's that anti-GMO stance working out for you? A selected news roundup [heh]." on Storify]