

League of Nerds calls glyphosate 'probably carcinogenic' classification 'Andrew Wakefield science'

The GLP aggregated and excerpted this blog/article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion and analysis.

In May 2015 anti-GMO lobbyist and ignorance profitters were handed some glorious news. The World Health Organization (WHO) had just declared the Glyphosate was probably carcinogenic. Finally validation that GMO's are evil and Monsanto is trying to rape mother earth for every last cent.

A body within the WHO called the International agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) was the division responsible for this new claim. I respect the WHO, and am an avid supporter of their vaccination work and principles of education in helping improve human health. What I am about to say I do not do lightly, but the level of scholarship depicted in the IARC report is on par with Andrew Wakefield of MMR/Autism fame.

In the 2015 short press release communication the authors laid out several damning conclusions regarding the safety of Glyphosate. They stated that there was sufficient evidence in animals that it was carcinogenic and limited but potentially positive evidence of carcinogenicity in humans. Reportedly linked to Non Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL). I was skeptical.

Now, having reviewed the evidence used I do not agree that it 'is probably carcinogenic'. If this is the level of evidence the IARC accepts I have a lot more questions regarding the validity of their other work. I have serious questions for the lead authors regarding their level of scrutiny whilst drafting the press release and how this could be published and used by such an organisation.

Listen to The League of Nerds podcast on Glyphosate here: [Glyphosate Probably Doesn't Cause Cancer – TLoNs Podcast #097](#)

Read full, original post: Is Glyphosate Probably Carcinogenic? Probably not!