

National Academies committee members reflect on GMO report

The GLP aggregated and excerpted this blog/article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion and analysis.

Here we offer perspectives from three members of the [National Academies'] committee. Their comments underline a major theme of the report: discussions about GE crops need to move past broad pro/con statements and address the complexities of this fast-evolving field.

Peter Kareiva

I am proud of what is a profoundly sensible report, and a process that really listened to the public. Our committee held three public meetings and heard from 80 invited speakers. We received over 700 public comments and read every one of them. We trudged our way through hundreds of published scientific articles.

Leland Glenna

There are several valuable insights in this report. It avoids making simplistic and authoritative pronouncements about GE crop technologies. People should avoid viewing GE crops as a single thing that is either beneficial or harmful.

Read full, original post: [New report on GE crops avoids simple answers – and that's the point, study members say](#)