

Familiar GMO denialists shrug off Academies safety, environmental findings

The GLP aggregated and excerpted this blog/article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion and analysis.

A massive [National Academy of Science review of hundreds of studies on genetically engineered \(GE\) food crops](#). . . finds no reliable evidence that these crops pose any harm to human or environmental health. . . . The sad thing is, given the nature of human risk perception, minds already made up on the issue are unlikely to change.

. . . .

. . . T]he depressing lesson this whole GMO issue teaches, a lesson with implications about how society struggles to deal intelligently with a wide range of matters. When it comes to how we see risk issues like this, the facts don't matter. . . What matters most as we make up our minds is how we *feel* about the issue. We see the facts through the filters of our emotions and instincts. We see *untrustworthy* big companies profiting from doing *unnatural* things to our food and agricultural systems, *imposing a potential risk on us without telling us* (the labeling issue), and for many of us, those psychological characteristics set off instinctive alarms go off. . . .

Read full, original post: [The Dangerous Lesson of GMO Science Denial.](#)