

Can food makers label GMO products with pride?

. . . . [T]he maker of Soylent, doubled down on its pro-GMO stance with the blog post “Proudly Made With GMOs.” Soylent may not be the most approachable poster child for GMO food. . . . But it still offers a viable alternative in a debate that . . . has been dominated by an anti-science fear of GMOs. Maybe Soylent’s pro-GMO message could serve as a template for how we could label genetically engineered foods—with pride.

Soylent’s pro-GMO message is noteworthy because of how it contrasts with most companies’ desperate attempts to avoid the GMO debate altogether. The [companies who talk about their use of genetically engineered ingredients](#) tend to do so diplomatically, pointing to the consumer’s “right to know” rather than offering impassioned defenses of their products. . . . Thanks to the recent passage of the federal GMO labeling law, food companies who use genetically engineered ingredients will have to either agree to label or remove GMOs entirely. It would change the game if the GMO label could be interpreted not as a warning—as those pushing for the labels intend—but as an attribute.

The GLP aggregated and excerpted this blog/article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion and analysis. Read full, original post: [Could Labels Indicate That GMOs Are a Good Thing?](#)