Why do some skeptics still claim GMOs unhealthy despite abundant contrary evidence?

The real question is whether [genetically] modified foods have been shown to cause harm in adults and children...

Jon Entine, author and founder of the Genetic Literacy Project has <u>written</u>: "every major international science body in the world has reviewed multiple independent studies—in some cases numbering in the hundreds—in coming to the <u>consensus conclusion</u> that GMO crops are as safe or safer than conventional or organic foods." He points out a review... which looked at 1,783 studies on GM foods... and "couldn't find a single credible example demonstrating that GM foods pose any harm to humans or animals."

. . . .

[Toyo Garber, of the Non-GMO Project] raises concerns about how GMOs have been studied. "At this point, there have not been long term feeding studies...

...[W]hat ... constitutes "long term"? ...[I]n the research world... anything longer than 90 days [is] "long term." But for some activists, this is not long enough. Layla Parker-Katiraee, PhD, ...outlines why there are no long term studies of GMO in humans... no food (including organics) is ever really studied in the long term...

. . . .

The question remains why anti-GMO activists are so adamant that modified foods are harmful to humans...

The GLP aggregated and excerpted this blog/article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion and analysis. Read full, original post: GMO Foods: Are they really bad for us?