Biotech industry's nutrition-related GM crops falling short of hopes

Editor's Note: This blog by geneticist Anastasia Bodnar evaluates whether the biotechnology industry's nutrition-related claims about GM crops are true or not.

Do GMOs live up to the promises of the biotech industry? ... [The claim here is] that "Biotech is helping to feed the world by: Developing crops with enhanced nutrition profiles that solve vitamin and nutrient deficiencies; Producing foods free of allergens ... and Improving food and crop oil content to help improve cardiovascular health."

Improving food and crop oil content to help improve cardiovascular health

Verdict: Promise not yet met.

. . .

There is a genetically engineered soybean with an improved fatty acid profile on the market in the US: Monsanto's <u>Vistive Gold</u> ... Among other benefits, Vistive Gold oil results in fried foods with <u>reduced</u> <u>saturated fat and almost zero trans fats</u>. While Vistive Gold is available, not much of it is planted relative to other soybean varieties, and not much of this improved soybean oil is produced compared to unimproved oil.

. . .

Developing crops with enhanced nutrition profiles

Verdict: *Promise met.* As the promise is phrased, the crops with enhanced nutrition profiles are under development, which is true ... Unfortunately, for a variety of reasons that I won't go into here, none of these are on the market yet.

Producing foods free of allergens

Verdict: Promise not yet met.

There have been a few reduced-allergen foods developed, including <u>apple</u>, <u>soy</u>, <u>peanut</u>, and <u>milk</u>. For a variety of reasons that I won't go into here, none of these is on the market yet.

The GLP aggregated and excerpted this blog/article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion, and analysis. Read full, original post: The Promise of GMOs: Nutrition