

Only conspiracy theories left to challenge science consensus that GMOs are safe

I have been [writing about the GMO scientific consensus](#) for quite some time, because this scientific consensus for the safety of GMO crops is so overwhelming that it's almost impossible to ignore.

...

If you accept the science of climate change, but deny the science of GMOs, [then you are a science denier](#). It's pretty simple. In fact, [many of us think that GMO deniers are the left's version of climate change deniers](#).

The scientific consensus is based only on evidence. Not politics. Not your snowflake opinion. And certainly not on your [cherry picked](#) junk science. The [scientific consensus](#) is the collective opinion and judgement of scientists in a particular field of study.

...

In the past, I generally relied on a couple of august scientific bodies for this consensus, but I always get the comment, "yeah but they're bought off by Monsanto, it only represents a couple of countries," or any number of other [logical fallacies](#). Thanks to an [exhaustive list produced](#) by the [Credible Hulk](#), it's time to review all of the world's science organizations' statements on the GMO scientific consensus.

**The GLP aggregated and excerpted this blog/article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion, and analysis. Read full, original post: [The GMO scientific consensus – it's unequivocal and overwhelming](#)**