embracing technology in order to improve efficiency, protect and preserve natural resources, feed a rapidly growing global population and many more positive impacts is ethically and morally appropriate.

In 1950, the U.S. population was 154-million and one farmer produced enough in a year to feed 30 people. We’ve more than doubled the population and one farmer today produces enough to feed 160 people. Today’s farmers produce an amazing 262 percent more food with two percent fewer inputs such as labor, seed, animal feed and fertilizer.

The so-called “Green Revolution” refers to technological advances 50 or 60 years ago that paved the way for global agricultural productivity increases. Things such as high-yielding varieties of seed, chemical fertilizers, irrigation and new methods of cultivation are credited with saving the lives of a billion people. Something similar needs to happen again and efforts are being made in Africa as evidenced by the experience of Ms. Karembu documented in her paper, “Biotechnology Adoption in Africa.”

Karembu says respect for choice and opinions should be the guiding principle in the ongoing discussion of modern technology adoption in Africa. “Without that, how will those transitioning from subsistence to farming-as-a-business meet the ever-stringent market access requirements in regulations, private standards and consumer demands?”
The modern row crop technology farmers in Africa so desperately seek to adopt is but one of many advances in agriculture that have taken place in the last half-century. Improved animal health products and housing systems help keep animals that produce food healthier and more productive. New gene-editing technology holds the potential for life-changing applications in plants, animals, people and essentially any kind of organism.

As global population sprints toward 9.7 billion by mid-century, estimates point to the need for 100 percent more food by mid-century. It’s especially critical in Africa where around half the population growth is expected to take place.

Agriculture must produce more, using less through innovation and the responsible use of technology, which farmers, when allowed to, have been doing for decades. It is in humanity’s best interest to use technology in food production because it allows us to feed a rapidly growing global population.

But, that message alone won’t generate public support for today’s agriculture technology. The Center for Food Integrity’s consumer trust research survey shows much stronger support for the notion of teaching developing countries how to feed themselves than to export food to them.

What consumers care about most, according to the research, is having access to healthy, affordable food. Farmers, whether in the U.S., Africa or anywhere else, are more likely to build support for modern farming technology by talking about what they do on the farm today that helps keep food healthy and affordable.

Many people are uncomfortable with modern food production systems and the size and scale of today’s farming operations. That’s understandable. With a predominant “big is bad” mindset, many people believe today’s food producers place profit ahead of public interest.

Building trusting relationships with consumers is about making what farmers are doing relevant to them and helping them understand that farmers share their values when it comes to important issues like protecting soil and water and providing healthy, affordable food. CFI’s peer-reviewed and published trust model shows that communicating with shared values is three-to-five times more important to building consumer trust than simply providing information.

Food and agriculture must change the conversation and transparency is the key. CFI’s research proves that as those in the food and agriculture increase transparency, they also increase consumer trust. The link between transparency and trust is real, direct and powerful.

The new reality is that consumers expect more than quality and safety. They also expect the supply chain to be transparent. Farmers and food companies who believe these are not their issues do so at their own risk. They can no longer assume that the public knows they care about the food they produce. This makes them susceptible to the belief that they’re no longer worthy of public trust. The volatile, “I’m right, you’re wrong” nature of today’s conversation about food further reinforces that distrust.

As a result, those in food production must commit to transparency, be willing to engage in a dialogue with consumers and answer their questions in an honest, open manner. Effectively demonstrating transparency will help increase trust in their processes and products, while supporting consumers in making informed decisions. Some farms and food companies have embraced this reality and pulled back the curtain.

As consumers are bombarded with conflicting information, it is understandable that new technology is being met
with skepticism and society’s increased demand for transparency must be satisfied. While the demand for more information is accompanied by an obligation for consumers to objectively examine the data and to focus on the need for safe, healthy, affordable, responsibly-produced food, it’s the food system’s responsibility to embrace the skepticism and communicate in a transparent manner.

Charlie Arnot is the CEO of the Center for Food Integrity. The Center for Food Integrity is a not-for-profit organization that helps today’s food system earn consumer trust. Our members and project partners, who represent the diversity of the food system, are committed to providing accurate information and working together to address important issues in food and agriculture. The Center does not lobby or advocate for individual companies or brands. For more information, visit www.foodintegrity.org.

The Genetic Literacy Project is a 501(c)(3) non profit dedicated to helping the public, journalists, policy makers and scientists better communicate the advances and ethical and technological challenges ushered in by the biotechnology and genetics revolution, addressing both human genetics and food and farming. We are one of two websites overseen by the Science Literacy Project; our sister site, the Epigenetics Literacy Project, addresses the challenges surrounding emerging data-rich technologies.