Viewpoint: NPR fumbles analysis of scientific racism, misunderstands population genetics

NPR's science blog Cosmos & Culture has a post up about a new book on scientific racism and population genetics,...in which biological anthropologist Barbara King reviews the new book <u>Is Science</u> <u>Racist</u> by anthropologist Jonathan Marks...[It] starts off with a premise that took me aback:

Science is racist when it permits scientists who advance racist ideas to exist and to thrive institutionally." This is a strong set of claims, and Marks uses numerous examples to support them. For example, a 2014 book by science writer Nicholas Wade used genes and race to explain..."why African-Americans are allegedly more violent than whites....

Huh. So the first example of racist science is Nicholas Wade, who is ... not actually a scientist. And whose 2014 book inspired a panel of 139 evolutionary geneticists...to sign a letter to the *New York Times* saying that Wade was wrong.

. . .

The history of racism in the U.S. and around the world makes it vital to provide careful context for every study of human genetics...Biology does have a mixed record on providing that context...But evolutionary geneticists are, in fact, wrestling with this history

The GLP aggregated and excerpted this blog/article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion, and analysis. Read full, original post: <u>NPR's muddled take on scientific racism and direct-to-consumer</u> genetics