Monsanto played role in 'independent' safety review of glyphosate herbicide, emails show

Dozens of internal Monsanto emails, released on Aug. 1 by plaintiffs' lawyers who are suing the company, reveal how Monsanto worked with an outside consulting firm to induce the scientific journal *Critical Reviews in Toxicology* to publish a <u>purported "independent" review</u> of Roundup's health effects that appears to be anything but. The review, published along with four subpapers in a September 2016 special supplement, was aimed at rebutting the 2015 assessment by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) that glyphosate is a probable human carcinogen.

. . .

Monsanto disclosed that it paid Intertek Group Plc's consulting unit to develop the review supplement, entitled "An Independent Review of the Carcinogenic Potential of Glyphosate." But that was the extent of Monsanto's involvement, the main article said.

...

Monsanto's internal emails tell a different story. The correspondence shows the company's chief of regulatory science, William Heydens, and other Monsanto scientists were heavily involved in organizing, reviewing, and editing drafts submitted by the outside experts. At one point, Heydens even vetoed explicit requests by some of the panelists to tone down what one of them wrote was the review's "inflammatory" criticisms of IARC.

The GLP aggregated and excerpted this article to reflect the diversity of news, opinion and analysis. Read full, original post: <u>Monsanto Was Its Own Ghostwriter for Some Safety Reviews</u>