

Viewpoint: JAMA falls short in ‘correction’ of research letter reporting glyphosate in urine

In October 2017, the *Journal of the American Medical Association (JAMA)* added to the weight of evidence they care more about media attention than science by publishing a “Letter” claiming that glyphosate was detected in urine. Their media bait worked. For example, [a journalist at TIME](#) rewrote the press release and used Paul Mills, the lead author and adjunct at a California university for a quote, without bothering to use Google for five seconds and learn his degree came from [Maharishi University of Management in Iowa](#), which teaches transcendental meditation and yoga and is not a legitimate school for anything scientific.

To help TIME out with future articles, [I wrote about other similarly cosmic papers by Mills they could cover](#) but that is not the point here. The point is that they dismiss conflicts of interest when the entire paper was rubbish – and that they engaged in conflicts of interest and used *JAMA* to sell their products are more weight of evidence they are suffering from an existential threat to their credibility.

Only [April 3rd] did *JAMA* finally correct the record, burying it way over in one of the tabs.

...

[\[H\]ere is how JAMA soft-pedals a Col disclosure that would be a full-on retraction](#) at a quality journal.
Bold mine.

Screen Shot at AM

Read full, original post: [Urine, Glyphosate And JAMA: Conflicts Of Interest Bordering On Fraud Should Be Retracted](#)