

Science disproves Seralini GMO rat tumor study—but his findings were always an outlier

Gilles-Éric Séralini is a French researcher who came to fame [from publishing a study](#) in 2012 claiming that herbicide-tolerant GMO corn, with or without combination with glyphosate herbicide, increased tumor risk in rats.

...

[There have now been three replications](#) of Séralini's original study – and all of them show no toxicity from GMO corn or glyphosate.

...

Three transparent and more rigorous studies all showing no negative effects of rodents consuming GMO corn. That is the problem with spurious findings from poor-quality studies – they tend not to replicate. They don't replicate because the results were never real.

Séralini's findings were always an outlier.

...

[There have been over 2000 studies](#), carried out in many different countries by many different research teams, and reviewed by many different scientific organizations, with a clear consensus that there is no evidence that existing GMOs pose any health risk. With all of this evidence, there are a few outliers, one of which being Séralini's research. Now his findings have been directly refuted.

I hope this matters to the general public, but of course it won't have an effect on those with a dedicated anti-GMO ideology. People seem to have no problem cherry picking a few outlier studies that agree with their position, and dismissing hundreds or thousands of studies that disagree with them.

Read full, original post: [Séralini Fails Replication](#)