

As IARC glyphosate-cancer finding draws more scrutiny, WHO rebukes agency for 'undermining' other UN chemical safety assessments

The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) was once a serious, revered organization with the somber task of tackling what environmental factors caused what cancers so that we could eliminate them But in the 2000s, with no more carcinogens to find, IARC began to subtly manufacture them.

...

If an epidemiologist tells you a chemical in Scotch will harm you, you will be alarmed, but if a toxicologist corrects them and notes it would take 10,000 shots of Scotch all at once to cause that harm, you will be annoyed.

Yet that is what IARC now does in every monograph. They completely ignore [dose], except in their media kits for journalists, where they mention "risk" dozens of times.

WHO has had enough. They have told IARC to stop reviewing compounds that have already been cleared by scientists with the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) or FAO/WHO Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR). [They \[asked\]](#) member countries to "exercise appropriate oversight to "ensure that the IARC would not duplicate and undermine the work of JMPR and JECFA on chemicals in food."

Read full, original article: [FAO/WHO Codex Alimentarius Meeting Suggests Rogue Activist Group IARC Be Reined In](#)