Low-calorie sweeteners 'poison' for expecting mothers? Epidemiologist says that's unlikely

<u>News</u> <u>sources</u> <u>around the globe</u> <u>have been</u> calling out warnings about a new study that appears to have shown that low-calorie sweeteners are not just a great way to get sweetness into your life, but basically poison for expecting mothers and their children.

It's a story we love to hear, because it speaks to the very real fear of pollutants that we all instinctively connect with. It's a fear that has people around the world worried about things they can't pronounce, and it's the main selling-point of organic food.

[Editor's note: Gideon Meyerowitz-Katz is an epidemiologist at the University of Wollongon in Australia.]

On the one hand, we love them because they allow us to indulge in delicious liquid candy without the sugary guilt. On the other, we hate them because they are *scary chemicals* that inevitably inspire enough fear and disgust to warrant a news splash at least once a month on how terrible they truly are.

. . .

Rats are not people. Minor harms demonstrated in a few rodents <u>won't necessarily translate</u> into definitive issues for real human beings. Pre-clinical research like this is useful for identifying potential mechanisms of harm, but since the <u>best evidence</u> we've got at the moment <u>doesn't show</u> that artificial sweeteners are particularly bad it's not adding much to the equation.

Read the original post