Will COVID cause complications for decades?

By now the story of how new viral threats emerge should be familiar – the close contact with infected animals, the virus leaping between species, the "patient zero" who catches it first, the super-spreaders who carry it across the globe. But what occurs at the end of a virus's existence is only just starting to gather interest.

. .

Even with a Herculean effort to eradicate [Ebola] from human populations, it will still remain circulating in its original host – bats. This means the only way to drive the virus to extinction is to eliminate it in the wild, which is a near-impossible task.

. .

"Sars went away because there's no other obvious host," says [microbiologist Stanley] Perlman. Sars is thought to have made the leap to humans via a palm civet... Perlman points out that the virus couldn't just retreat back to this species, because they aren't commonly infected.

Follow the latest news and policy debates on sustainable agriculture, biomedicine, and other 'disruptive' innovations. Subscribe to our newsletter.

SIGN UP

The same cannot be said for Covid-19, which again, is thought to have originally belonged to bats, before briefly being passed on to another animal – possibly pangolins – and eventually humans. "With Covid-19, the reservoir is now us," says Perlman. In fact, it's become so much of a human virus that scientists have begun to wonder if it will <u>spread the other way around</u> – from humans to wildlife, in a kind of "reverse spillover", if you will. This would make it even harder to stamp out.

Read the original post