

## Has Scientific American strayed too far from science?

I've written about a dozen posts calling out *Scientific American* for its fulminating wokeness (give me another word if you don't like that one), in particular its use of op-eds to discuss and promote woke ideological views that have little or nothing to do with science.

Follow the latest news and policy debates on sustainable agriculture, biomedicine, and other 'disruptive' innovations. Subscribe to our newsletter.

[SIGN UP](#)

Michael Shermer has personal experience of this, as he wrote over 200 columns for the magazine, eventually parting ways because the editors didn't like the messages of some of his columns. He recounts this, and makes two other points, in his longish column at his new Substack site, "[Skeptic](#)".

...

I've singled out almost all of the ones that Michael mentions (and more), but let's reiterate a few (with links to the original columns and my critiques):

- "[Modern Mathematics Confronts its White Patriarchal Past,](#)" My critique is [here](#).
- "[Denial of Evolution Is a Form of White Supremacy.](#)" This is a particularly ludicrous column implying that the motivation for creationism is white supremacy. Any fool knows that it's almost always religion. My critiques are [here](#) and [here](#)....
- "[Why the Term 'JEDI' is Problematic for Describing Programs that Promote Justice, Equity, Diversity and Inclusion.](#)" This was a real doozy, unhinged in its claims. My critique is [here](#).

**[This is an excerpt. Read the original post here.](#)**