

Viewpoint: Bayer Roundup cancer case nearing SCOTUS poses test for Biden Administration. Will it stick to science and prior EPA rulings or cave to trial lawyer interests?

[T]he Supreme Court recently asked for the Biden Department of Justice to weigh in on a case involving a golden goose for trial lawyers: Monsanto and the pesticide Roundup. The case is called [Monsanto Company v. Hardeman](#). It is one of a long line of cases that trial lawyers have launched across the country in recent years alleging that Monsanto violated state law when it failed to warn consumers that exposure to Roundup could cause cancer.

Follow the latest news and policy debates on sustainable agriculture, biomedicine, and other 'disruptive' innovations. Subscribe to our newsletter.

[SIGN UP](#)

The Environmental Protection Agency has long authorized Roundup for sale, approved Roundup's label (without a cancer warning), and said that including a cancer warning would cause the product to be "misbranded" in violation of federal law.

...

This isn't about Monsanto or Roundup or the science surrounding these controversial cases. It is about the political influence of trial lawyers on liberal politicians. Trial lawyers are already gaming the system at the state and local level on a regular basis through the Shady Trial Lawyer Pipeline, a [notorious system that](#) politicians and trial lawyers use to funnel money from government coffers into left-wing political campaigns and committees, diverting money away from consumers and into political activism.

[\*\*This is an excerpt. Read the original post here.\*\*](#)