'Safer than natural': Japan's leading food risk expert says genetically modified and gene edited crops are safer than 'wild varieties' and offer 'improved taste and yield'

When comparing artificially modified crops such as GM/genome editing with natural crops, "natural" seems safe at first glance, but it is rather the opposite for food safety experts.

Artificially cultivated crops, whether GM or genome editing, are safer than natural wild varieties, with significantly improved taste and yield, so artificial crops are safer than natural crops.

Not only is it excellent in terms of food, but there is no doubt that it has greatly contributed to the food culture of humankind.

In addition, it is an undeniable fact that ordinary agricultural products that you think are natural are actually only those whose genes have been artificially modified by conventional breeding.

Follow the latest news and policy debates on sustainable agriculture, biomedicine, and other 'disruptive' innovations. Subscribe to our newsletter.

SIGN UP

Fake news such as "I don't know what will happen when I eat GM. I'm worried about carcinogenicity and genotoxicity" is widespread on the Internet and public lectures, all of which are unscientific misinformation.

Unfortunately, those disseminating such suspicious information are developing visual marketing to emphasize the dangers of competing products in order to emphasize the safety of the non-GMO foods they sell.

[Editor's note: This article was originally published in Japanese and has been translated and edited for clarity.]

This is an excerpt. Read the original post here.