

Can we ‘vacuum carbon dioxide from the atmosphere’ to fight global warming?

In August, the Biden Administration [granted](#) \$1.2 billion in federal funding to kickstart a project intended to vacuum carbon dioxide up from the atmosphere to offset [global warming](#).

Projects like these, generally known as carbon removal or [carbon capture](#), aim to use industrialized technologies to suck up excess carbon in the atmosphere and bury it in long-term storage underground through [CO2 pipelines](#). The direct air capture project funded by Biden will be located in Texas and Louisiana and is estimated to be the largest such project in the world.

Follow the latest news and policy debates on sustainable agriculture, biomedicine, and other ‘disruptive’ innovations. Subscribe to our newsletter.

[SIGN UP](#)

How adept at removing carbon these strategies are remains to be seen. In an [analysis](#) of 11 projects included in the Department of Energy’s 2010 [carbon capture](#) plan, seven never got off the ground, one [imploded](#) — yes, you read that right — one shut down due to a lack of funding, and the other two “successful” projects barely captured enough carbon to balance out the energy cost of the facilities.

The Biden Administration [set](#) a target of each facility removing millions of tons annually with this technology and costing under \$100 per ton of carbon removed. However, the [world’s largest facility, Orca](#), in Iceland currently removes just [4,000 tons a year](#), and most of the 18 facilities in circulation globally cost between [\\$200 to \\$800 per ton](#).

[This is an excerpt. Read the original post here](#)