Viewpoint: Regenerative agriculture has many different definitions. Here are 5 main principles — and whether they stand up to scrutiny

What is regenerative agriculture? Why is it different from <u>sustainable agriculture</u>? And how do I reconcile what practitioners of this system are claiming with the scientific evidence?

• • •

In my past explorations of regenerative ag, I had found that there are multiple versions of these principles, each with a different flavor. Rodale [Institute] and partners offer their strictly <u>organic version</u> with a new certification program attached. <u>Project Drawdown</u> includes regenerative ag in its plan to reverse global warming, and California State University at Chico has their <u>regenerative ag initiative</u>. Table 1 shows [regenerative agriculture farmer and spokesperson Gabe] Brown's principles/practices compared to these other versions, and to <u>conservation agriculture</u>.

Table 1. Principles, Practices, and Restrictions of Rege

Principles/Practices	Gabe Brown
Limit tillage	\checkmark
Protect the soil	
Plants or crop residues on soil surface	\checkmark
Controlled traffic	
Maintain living roots in the soil	√
Increase biodiversity	
Diverse crop rotations	\checkmark
Multi-species cover crops	\checkmark
Cover crops	
Inoculation of soils	
Integrate livestock	~

Restrictions

Follow the latest news and policy debates on sustainable agriculture, biomedicine, and other 'disruptive' innovations. Subscribe to our newsletter. SIGN UP

We are to believe that biodiversity-powered microbes free up large amounts of phosphorus, fix large amounts of nitrogen from the air, while plants produce 31 tons of biomass in a short North Dakota season, while also producing harvested crops and livestock?

I cannot say that this scenario is impossible, but I find it highly improbable, because if this is true, then it means that science has missed an astounding, extraordinary process.

• • •

However, there is another principle here: extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence. What counts as evidence are peer-reviewed publications in scientific journals – I have looked for the evidence to support the claims of regenerative agriculture. What I have found are lots of YouTube videos, testimonials, articles and interviews. None of these sources are extraordinary evidence.

This is an excerpt. Read the original post here